Modern Internet architecture, technology & philosophy

Advanced Internet Services Dept. of Computer Science Columbia University

> Henning Schulzrinne Spring 2015 03/02/2015

Objectives

- Why do good technology ideas fail?
- What are different kinds of research?
- Why do networks increase in complexity?
- What does network traffic look like?
- How have network costs change?
- What are the economic trade-offs between computing, communication and storage?
- What are other network models besides the "classical" Internet?

NETWORK EVOLUTION & RESEARCH

Cause of death for the next big thing

	QoS	multi- cast	mobile IP	active networks	IPsec	IPv6
not manageable across competing domains	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	ት		
not configurable by normal users (or apps writers)	t			ት	÷	
no business model for ISPs	ት	¢	÷	÷	÷	ዮ
no initial gain	ት	ት	ት	ት		ቴ
80% solution in existing system	t	Ŷ	ዮ	t	Ŷ	(NAT)
increase system vulnerability	¢	ት	ት	ዮ		

Why do good ideas fail?

- Research: O(.), CPU overhead
 - "per-flow reservation (RSVP) doesn't scale" → not the problem
 - at least now -- routinely handle O(50,000) routing states
- Reality:
 - deployment costs of any new L3 technology is probably billions of \$
- Cost of failure:
 - conservative estimate (1 grad student year = 2 papers)
 - 10,000 QoS papers @ \$20,000/paper → \$200 million

Research: Network evolution

- Only three modes, now thoroughly explored:
 - packet/cell-based
 - message-based (application data units)
 - session-based (circuits)
- Replace specialized networks
 - left to do: embedded systems
 - need cost(CPU + network) < \$10
 - cars
 - industrial (manufacturing) control
 - commercial buildings (lighting, HVAC, security; now LONworks)
 - remote controls, light switches
 - keys replaced by biometrics

Research: Pasteur's quadrant



Pasteur's Quadrant: Basic Science and Technological Innovation, Stokes 1997 (modified)

Maturing network research

- Old questions:
 - Can we make X work over packet networks?
 - All major dedicated network applications (flight reservations, embedded systems, radio, TV, telephone, fax, messaging, ...) are now available on IP
 - Can we get M/G/T bits/s to the end user?
 - · Raw bits everywhere: "any media, anytime, anywhere"
- New questions:
 - Dependency on communications → Can we make the network reliable?
 - Can non-technical users use networks without becoming amateur sysadmins? → auto/zeroconfiguration, autonomous computing, self-healing networks, …
 - Can we make networks affordable to everyone?
 - Can we prevent social and financial damage inflicted through networks (viruses, spam, DOS, identity theft, privacy violations, ...)?

New applications

- New bandwidth-intensive applications
 - Reality-based networking
 - (security) cameras → "ambient video"
- New bandwidth-extensive applications
 - communicate infrequently \rightarrow setup overhead
 - SIGFOX network
- Distributed games often require only low-bandwidth control information
 - current game traffic ~ VoIP
 - 4G, 5G \rightarrow low latency
- Computation vs. storage vs. communications
 - communications cost has decreased less rapidly than storage costs

SIGFOX (902 MHz, 100 bps) is a connectivity solution that focuses on low throughput devices. On SIGFOX you can send between 0 and 140 messages per day and each message can be up to 12 bytes of actual payload data.

Change is hard

- No new network services deployed since 1980s
 - universal upgrade
 - chicken/egg (network/OS) problem
- "Innovation at edges"
- Applications easier, as long as
 - TCP-based
 - client-server
 - ... but there are exceptions (p2p)



Time of transition

Old	New
IPv4	IPv6
circuit-switched voice	VoIP
separate mobile voice & data	LTE + LTE-VoIP
911, 112	NG911, NG112
digital cable (QAM)	IPTV
analog & digital radio	Pandora, Internet radio, satellite radio
credit cards, keys	NFC
end system, peers	client-server v2 aka cloud

all the energy into transition \rightarrow little new technology

Technology transition



protocols vs. algorithms!

Internet challenges

- IP address depletion
- NAT, middle boxes and the loss of transparency
- Routing infrastructure
- Quality of service
- Security
 - old protocols
 - key and trust management difficult
- DNS scaling
- Dealing with privatization
- Interplanetary Internet

COMPLEXITY

Mid-Life Crisis

email WWW phone
SMTP HTTP RTP
TCP UDP
ethernet PPP
CSMA async sonet
copper fiber radio

- doubles number of service interfaces
- requires changes above & below
- major interoperability issues

"Why architectural complexity is like body fat"

- You naturally tend to gain it while you grow older
- Very easy to gain and very hard to get rid of
 - Designing complex solutions and protocols easier than designing simple ones.
- Healthy to have some, but not too much
- Having it on waist may be worse than elsewhere
- Younger and slimmer will eventually beat you
 - Architectural complexity → reduced agility → younger and less complex systems eventually replace older and more complex system.
- Sometimes surgery is a good way to start
- Long term results require constant exercise



Causes of complexity

- Complexity: implementation vs. run-time
 - system vs. protocol
- After-the-fact enhancements:
 - security
 - NAT traversal
 - mobility
 - internationalization (e.g., DNS)
- Wrong layer for function
 - multicast? IP security?
- Options
 - e.g., multiple transport protocols, IPv4 & IPv6
- Lots of special protocols
 - e.g., IMAP, POP, SMTP
- Manual configuration

NETWORK TRAFFIC & ECONOMICS

Mobile traffic distribution – 2011 prediction



VoIP traffic forecasted to be 0.4% of all mobile data traffic in 2015. Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2011

Mobile traffic distribution – 2014 prediction



Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2014

Mobile traffic is mostly Wi-Fi

Exabytes per Month



Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2014

Mobile traffic



Figures in parentheses refer to traffic share in 2018. Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2014

Monthly Consumption (fixed)

North America	Mean	Median	Mean : Median
Upstream	8.5 GB	1.8 GB	4.7
Downstream	48.9 GB	20.4 GB	2.4
Aggregate	57.4 GB	22.5 GB	2.6

- top 1% →
 - 49.7% of upstream traffic
 - 25% of downstream traffic

Europe	Mean	Median	Mean : Median
Upstream	5.1 GB	1.5 GB	3.4
Downstream	4.5 23.1 GB	8.7 GB	2.7
Aggregate	28.2 GB	10.1 GB	2.8

The value of bits

- Technologist: A bit is a bit is a bit
- Economist: Some bits are more valuable than other bits
 - e.g., \$(email) >> \$(video)

Application	Volume	Cost per unit	Cost / MB	Cost / TB
Voice (13 kb/s GSM)	97.5 kB/minute	10c	\$1.02	\$1M
Mobile data	5 GB	\$40	\$0.008	\$8,000
MMS (pictures)	< 300 KB, avg. 50 kB	25c	\$5.00	\$5M
SMS	160 B	10c	\$625	\$625M

Video, video and more video

Upstream		Downstrear	n	Aggregate	
BitTorrent	52.01	Netflix	29.70%	Netflix	24.71%
HTTP	8.31%	HTTP	18.36%	BitTorrent	17.23%
Skype	3.81%	YouTube	11.04%	HTTP	17.18%
Netflix	3.59%	BitTorrent	10.37%	YouTube	9.85%
PPStream	2.92%	Flash Video	4.88%	Flash Video	3.62%
MGCP	2.89%	iTunes	3.25%	iTunes	3.01%
RTP	2.85%	RTMP	2.92%	RTMP	2.46%
SSL	2.75%	Facebook	1.91%	Facebook	1.86%
Gnutella	2.12%	SSL	1.43%	SSL	1.68%
Facebook	2.00%	Hulu	1.09%	Skype	1.29%
Тор 10	83.25%	Тор 10	84.95%	Тор 10	82.89%

- Average monthly TV consumption (US): 154 hours
- Netflix: 1 GB/hour (SD) ... 2.3 GB/hour (HD)
 - \rightarrow 300 GB/month
 - more if people in household watch different content

monthly usage	overage cost (AT&T Uverse)	2010	2012	2015
> 50 GB	\$0	9.4%	14.1%	21.5%
> 100 GB	\$0	5.3%	8.2%	15.3%
> 200 GB	\$10	1.4%	4.4%	8.8%
> 500 GB	\$50	0.4%	0.8%	2.6%
> 1 TB	\$150	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%

Bandwidth generations



Transit prices

\$/Mbps



http://drpeering.net/white-papers/Internet-Transit-Pricing-Historical-And-Projected.php



Fig. 5: SONET/SDH vs. Ethernet Monthly C Backbone DWDM per-bit, per-km cost



AIS 2015

Bandwidth costs

- Amazon EC2
 - \$50 \$120/TB out, \$0/TB in
- CDN (Internet radio)
 - \$600/TB (2007)
 - \$7-20/TB (Q1 2014 CDNpricing.com)
- Netflix (7 GB DVD)
 - postage \$0.70 round-trip \rightarrow \$100/TB
- FedEx 2 lb disk
 - 5 business days: \$6.55
 - Standard overnight: \$43.68
 - Barracuda disk: \$30 \$60/TB (2015)
- DVD-R (7 GB)
 - \$0.25/disk → <mark>\$35/TB</mark>







FedEx.

Cost of bandwidth (2011 & 2015)

Service	Speed (Mb/s)	Average price/ month 2015 (2011)	\$/Mb/s
DS1 (T1)	1.54	\$295 (\$450)	\$197 (\$292)
DS3	45	\$1950 (\$5,000)	\$43 (\$111)
Ethernet over Copper	10	\$310 (\$950)	\$31 (\$95)
Fast Ethernet	100	\$1,800 (\$5,000)	\$18 (\$50)
Gigabit Ethernet	1000	\$4,000 (\$25,000)	\$4 (\$25)

NETWORK REALITY

Textbook Internet vs. real Internet

Ideal	Reality
end-to-end (application only in 2 places)	middle boxes (proxies, ALGs,)
permanent interface identifier (IP address)	time-varying (DHCP, mobile)
globally unique and routable	network address translation (NAT)
multitude of L2 protocols (ATM, ARCnet, Ethernet, FDDI, modems,)	dominance of Ethernet, but also L2's not designed for networks (1394 Firewire, Fibre Channel, MPEG2,)

Textbook Internet vs. real Internet

mostly trusted end users	hackers, spammers, con artists, pornographers,
small number of manufacturers, making expensive boxes	Linksys, Dlink, Netgear,, available at Walmart
technical users, excited about new technology	grandma, frustrated if email doesn't work
4 layers (link, network, transport, application)	layer splits
transparent network	firewalls, L7 filters, "transparent proxies"

Which Internet are you connected to?



The two-port Internet

- Many public access systems only allow port 80 (HTTP) and maybe 25 (SMTP)
 - e.g., public libraries
- Everything tunneled over HTTP
 - Web-based email
 - Video delivery (e.g., YouTube, Netflix)
 - HTTP CONNECT for remote login





Dave Thaler

Causes

- Link-layer technologies
 - satellite, DSL
 - NBMA
- Network-layer technologies
 - security: broken by design vs. broken by accident?
 - NATs
 - Ill-defined meaning of IP addresses and names
 - theoretically, single network interface
 - practically, often more than that
 - virtualization
 - multi-homing
 - fail-over

Private Internet -- challenges

- *Public* Internet = collection of *privately*-owned (mostly) for-profit networks
- Incentives for greedy behavior
- Special-purpose networks
 - VoIP networks
 - 3GPP, NGN, … → "walled garden"
 - sub-applications large enough to support own infrastructure
- Private protocols
 - e.g., most IM protocols
- Patent encumbrances
 - see https://datatracker.ietf.org/public/ipr_disclosure.cgi
- D. Clark, J. Wroclawski, K. Sollins, R. Braden, "Tussle in Cyberspace: Defining Tomorrow's Internet", ToN, June 2005

Tussle in Cyberspace

- Traditional view: design technology to make choices
- Tussle view: design technology to allow choices
 - "we are designing the social contract that the Internet embodies"
 - not a final outcome, on-going process \rightarrow lawyers vs. engineers
- Multiple competing interests
 - application value capture
 - high value content looks the same to ISP
 - traffic price differentiation
 - willingness to pay
 - investment in infrastructure vs. open interfaces
 - sunk costs
 - greed (local traffic optimization vs. social optimum)
 - privacy and anonymity vs. societal goals
 - CALEA, network resource protection, spam, DRM
- \rightarrow Allow multiple outcomes, but give users choice (competition)
 - user-selected routes and servers

Other network models

- Interplanetary networks
 - Extremely long round-trip times, large feedback delays
 - Protocols designed with terrestrial timeout parameters
 - See Vint Cerf's web page and Delay-Tolerant Networking Research Group (DTNRG)
- Disconnected or delay-tolerant operation
 - K. Fall, "A Delay Tolerant Networking Architecture for Challenged Internets", SIGCOMM 2003
 - "store-and-forward" at the content rather than packet level
 - generalized email model?
 - see UUCP
- Sensor networks
 - Extremely lossy links
 - resource-constrained network nodes
- Content-based networks
 - routing based on content hash

Other network types

network	partially disconnected	mobile end systems	wireless links	mobile routers	energy optimization	node computation
"classical" Internet	caching, sync.	fixed nomadic mobile	last hop			
mesh networks			all links	slowly		
MANET		only	only	fast		
delay- tolerant networks	possibly	planets space craft	only			
sensor networks	some systems	yes	common	some	crucial	common